

The Battle for Earth (Outline): The Good-Evil Index; The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index; The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth; Alien Invasion; Global Industrialization; Christianity and Islam; Judaism; The Illuminati; The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood; Foundation

Copyright © 2005 Joseph George Caldwell. All rights reserved.
Posted at Internet website <http://www.foundationwebsite.org>.
May be copied or reposted for non-commercial use, with attribution to author and website. (13 January 2005)

Contents

The Battle for Earth (Outline): The Good-Evil Index; The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index; The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth; Alien Invasion; Global Industrialization; Christianity and Islam; Judaism; The Illuminati; The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood; Foundation..... 1

The Good-Evil Index 1

The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index..... 14

The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth 18

Alien Invasion 24

Global Industrialization..... 34

Christianity and Islam..... 34

Judaism..... 35

The Illuminati..... 35

The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood 35

Foundation 36

The Good-Evil Index

A couple of months ago, Transparency International (TI) released the 2004 version of its Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI). You

can view a copy of this at the Internet website http://www.transparency.org/pressreleases_archive/2004/2004.10.20.cpi.en.html . The CPI provides a measure of the perceived level of corruption in each of 146 countries of the world. The best is Finland, and the worst are Bangladesh and Haiti.

Many people are aware of the TI CPI since, each year, many news services report on it, identifying some of the best and worst countries in the world. This year, the Index caught my eye twice – first in the general new release, but second, in an article written in a local paper by editorialist Roy Clarke. Zambia, where I currently live, was rated in the 11-th worst category, and Clarke wrote a very funny satire on this fact. I reported on this in my article, “Roy Clarke on Corruption” in *Miscellany*8.

As you know, I am very concerned with the state of the planet, and would like to see a rational planetary management system established, before the mass species extinction currently underway goes to full term and the biosphere is totally destroyed. After seeing the reports on the CPI, the issue of corruption of the world’s countries – the political leaders and the leaders of business and industry – ruminated in my mind for some time. It is very clear that most of the leaders in today’s world are corrupt. They are genuinely evil people who are quite willing to sacrifice the biosphere and the quality of living for mankind for all time, simply to generate wealth and power for themselves or their particular groups (family, tribe, nation, race, species) in their brief lifetimes.

On some reflection, it occurred to me that it would be useful to construct a more general index of behavior, that reflected not just behavior of countries relative to economic corruption, but also the behavior relative to destruction of the planet’s biosphere. I would call this index the “Good-Evil Index,” or GEI. The issue that then arose was what components should be reflected in this index. On

further reflection, it appeared to me that (as observed by Forrest Gump) “evil is as evil does,” and that I should try to capture an indicator (or indicators) that reflect various countries’ *actions* relative to destroying the biosphere. There are so many areas in which mankind is ravaging the environment, however, that this did not appear to be a very practical approach. Man is polluting the atmosphere, the oceans, the land, the rivers, the lakes. He is destroying all old-growth forests. He is destroying habitat of wild species at a horrific rate. He is causing global warming. He is causing the sixth mass species extinction, with the loss of an estimated 30,000 species per year. Through the development of civilization, he is causing untold misery to his own species, via overcrowding, poverty, disease, slavery, war, and a destroyed environment. There is simply too much to look at, if you try to measure the extent of mankind’s destruction of the planet.

So, what I needed was one or more indicators that reflect the problem, not its multifarious effects. At that point, it occurred to me, as I have observed many times, that, in the final analysis, it is mankind’s massive numbers that is destroying the planet. From this point of view, the countries that are doing the most damage are those that have the greatest population density relative to “productive” land, and those that have the greatest growth rates. (I decided that there was little point to including energy-related indicators, such as energy consumption per capita or per-capita income or the World Bank Human Development Index, since it appears that all countries strive to use as much energy as they can. Some, however, make much better (i.e., responsible, from a planetary management viewpoint) use of the energy than others.)

While writing *Can America Survive?* I assembled many of these kinds of statistics. In particular, I had figures for 229 countries, on population, population growth rate, arable land, permanent cropland, cropland (= arable land + permanent cropland), permanent pasture, agricultural land (= cropland + permanent

pasture), forest and woodland, and productive land (=agricultural land + forest and woodland). The data were mainly from World Bank publications. The population figures were for 1996, and the population growth rate was for the period 1990-95. (Later figures are available, but I have not been engaged in working with data for some time, and these are the latest that I have right now on my computer.) The land-use figures were for 1993. I calculated the population density (the ratio of population to productive land) using the 1996 population divided by the 1993 “productive land” value.

These figures are shown in the table below. The table includes the Transparency International Corruption Perceptions Index (TICPI04), the population growth rate (AGR9095WB), and the population density relative to productive land (DensProd96). To form a simple index of good-evil, I simply ranked each country relative to each of these three indicators (the ranks have suffix “I” attached, summed the ranks, and ranked the summed ranks. This final value is the Good-Evil Index (GoodEvilIndex).

Country	TICPI04	TICPI04I	DensProd96	DensProd96I	AGR9095WB	AGR9095WB I	SumOfRanks	GoodEvilIndex
Finland	9.7	146	0.198	123	0.47	118	387	1
Sweden	9.2	141	0.282	116	0.52	116	373	2
New Zealand	9.6	145	0.147	128	0.98	99	372	3
Iceland	9.5	143	0.112	133	1.15	91	367	4
Suriname	4.3	97	0.028	143	0.24	125	365	5

Estonia	6	115	0.4215	108	-0.39	142	365	6
Australia	8.8	138	0.0303	142	1.33	85	365	7
Canada	8.5	135	0.0528	140	1.18	89	364	8
Uruguay	6.2	119	0.2033	122	0.54	113	354	9
Norway	8.9	139	0.4689	101	0.52	114	354	10
Ireland	7.5	129	0.6112	96	0.52	115	340	11
United States	7.5	130	0.372	111	0.99	98	339	12
Spain	7.1	124	0.8518	80	0.09	131	335	13
Latvia	4	89	0.4652	102	-0.53	143	334	14
Lithuania	4.6	101	0.6783	89	0.03	135	325	15
Bulgaria	4.1	93	0.8358	82	-0.53	144	319	16
Chile	7.4	127	0.4197	109	1.56	81	317	17
Slovenia	6	116	1.0596	66	0.07	132	314	18
Portugal	6.3	120	1.3603	54	-0.09	139	313	19
Denmark	9.5	144	1.6526	45	0.28	123	312	20
Russian Federation	2.8	51	0.1494	127	0.09	130	308	21

Hungary	4.8	105	1.2912	56	-0.66	145	306	22
Austria	8.4	133	1.2043	60	0.69	110	303	23
France	7.1	125	1.2934	55	0.48	117	297	24
Belarus	3.3	71	0.6297	94	0.22	126	291	25
United Kingdom	8.6	136	2.9971	30	0.3	122	288	26
Brazil	3.9	88	0.2235	120	1.59	80	288	27
Germany	8.2	132	2.9184	32	0.41	120	284	28
Greece	4.3	98	0.9218	77	0.71	108	283	29
Croatia	3.5	77	1.0588	67	0.06	134	278	30
Botswana	6	114	0.0282	144	2.99	20	278	31
Colombia	3.8	86	0.3899	110	1.67	79	275	32
Belgium	7.5	128	4.9946	19	0.21	127	274	33
Namibia	4.1	92	0.028	145	2.7	36	273	34
Switzerland	9.1	140	2.497	36	1.05	96	272	35
Italy	4.8	104	2.5024	35	0.07	133	272	36
South Africa	4.6	102	0.3663	112	2.26	58	272	37

Czech Republic	4.2	96	1.518	46	0.15	129	271	38
Japan	6.9	123	4.161	23	0.27	124	270	39
Slovak Republic	4	90	1.2037	61	0.43	119	270	40
Peru	3.5	78	0.2106	121	1.92	70	269	41
Kazakhstan	2.2	21	0.0713	139	0.7	109	269	42
Romania	2.9	59	1.0528	68	-0.35	141	268	43
Belize	3.8	87	0.1009	135	2.55	44	266	44
Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.1	63	1.1448	63	-0.3	140	266	45
Netherlands	8.7	137	6.6454	16	0.65	112	265	46
Poland	3.5	80	1.4043	50	0.2	128	258	47
Kuwait	4.6	103	11.0381	9	-6.05	146	258	48
Panama	3.7	84	0.4943	100	1.91	71	255	49
Cuba	3.7	85	1.2356	58	0.68	111	254	50
Luxembourg	8.4	134	2.8078	33	1.24	87	254	51
Barbados	7.3	126	11.4914	7	0.3	121	254	52

Argentina	2.5	38	0.16	125	1.16	90	253	53
Malaysia	5	108	0.7558	85	2.25	59	252	54
Mongolia	3	62	0.018	146	2.62	39	247	55
Costa Rica	4.9	106	0.7752	84	2.3	56	246	56
Gabon	3.3	72	0.0449	141	2.79	32	245	57
Mexico	3.6	83	0.6299	93	2.04	65	241	58
Cyprus	5.4	111	2.5965	34	1.09	94	239	59
Tunisia	5	107	1.0459	69	2.24	62	238	60
Hong Kong, China	8	131	210.3669	2	0.89	100	233	61
Ukraine	2.2	23	0.9712	74	0.03	136	233	62
Papua New Guinea	2.6	41	0.1036	134	2.29	57	232	63
Malta	6.8	122	28.6923	4	0.75	106	232	64
Taiwan	5.6	112	7.9048	14	0.86	103	229	65
Macedonia, FYR	2.7	47	0.862	79	0.88	102	228	66
Morocco	3.2	70	0.6791	88	2	66	224	67

Kyrgyz Republic	2.2	22	0.4229	107	1.07	95	224	68
Georgia	2	8	0.9493	76	-0.08	138	222	69
Singapore	9.3	142	761.0438	1	1.69	77	220	70
Seychelles	4.4	99	6.3891	17	0.82	104	220	71
Korea, Rep.	4.5	100	5.2928	18	0.89	101	219	72
Mozambique	2.8	53	0.2947	115	2.42	49	217	73
Moldova	2.3	30	1.4257	49	-0.05	137	216	74
Yugoslavia, FR (Serbia/Montenegro)	2.7	50	1.2154	59	0.74	107	216	75
Trinidad and Tobago	4.2	94	3.5245	28	1.09	93	215	76
Turkey	3.2	67	1.043	70	1.77	75	212	77
Saudi Arabia	3.4	75	0.1546	126	3.27	10	211	78
Bolivia	2.2	25	0.0873	136	2.37	50	211	79

China	3.4	76	1.9486	42	1.13	92	210	80
Thailand	3.6	81	1.7095	44	1.43	83	208	81
Madagascar	3.1	64	0.2724	117	2.89	27	208	82
Jamaica	3.3	73	3.8527	26	0.82	105	204	83
Mali	3.2	68	0.2538	118	3.01	17	203	84
Mauritius	4.1	91	7.2229	15	1.04	97	203	85
Ecuador	2.4	35	0.4963	99	2.11	64	198	86
Turkmenistan	2	11	0.1267	132	2.34	54	197	87
Senegal	3	61	0.5367	98	2.69	37	196	88
El Salvador	4.2	95	4.0234	25	1.83	73	193	89
Sri Lanka	3.5	79	4.1216	24	1.24	88	191	90
Algeria	2.7	48	0.6753	90	2.36	53	191	91
Chad	1.7	4	0.082	138	2.54	45	187	92
Armenia	3.1	65	2.2451	37	1.39	84	186	93
Eritrea	2.6	42	0.4577	103	2.59	41	186	94
Nicaragua	2.7	46	0.4517	104	2.72	35	185	95

Zambia	2.6	40	0.144	129	3.06	14	183	96
Venezuela	2.3	32	0.4314	105	2.49	46	183	97
United Arab Emirates	6.1	118	10.4629	11	2.36	52	181	98
Dominican Republic	2.9	60	1.9236	43	1.7	76	179	99
Sudan	2.2	20	0.1631	124	2.72	34	178	100
Ghana	3.6	82	1.0175	71	2.95	23	176	101
Congo, Rep.	2.3	26	0.0865	137	3.21	12	175	102
Qatar	5.2	109	11.5435	6	2.25	60	175	103
Tanzania	2.8	54	0.4235	106	3.03	15	175	104
Benin	3.2	69	0.9842	73	2.87	29	171	105
Angola	2	13	0.1315	131	2.91	25	169	106
Paraguay	1.9	7	0.1346	130	2.88	28	165	107
Uzbekistan	2.3	31	0.8732	78	2.31	55	164	108
Oman	6.1	117	2.0443	41	4.21	4	162	109
Albania	2.5	36	1.5115	47	1.68	78	161	110

Bahrain	5.8	113	99.828	3	2.59	42	158	111
Iran, Islamic Rep.	2.9	58	0.8499	81	3	19	158	112
Libya	2.5	37	0.3168	113	3.48	7	157	113
Zimbabwe	2.3	29	0.6804	87	2.61	40	156	114
India	2.8	57	3.7873	27	1.9	72	156	115
Syrian Arab Republic	3.4	74	1.0012	72	3.29	8	154	116
Indonesia	2	14	1.2749	57	1.55	82	153	117
Cameroon	2.1	16	0.3043	114	2.97	22	152	118
Congo, Dem. Rep.	2	12	0.23	119	3	18	149	119
Sierra Leone	2.3	28	0.9686	75	2.59	43	146	120
Honduras	2.3	33	0.639	92	2.98	21	146	121
Nepal	2.8	55	2.1811	39	2.47	48	142	122
Malawi	2.8	52	1.3834	52	2.68	38	142	123
Kenya	2.1	17	0.642	91	2.78	33	141	124
Jordan	5.3	110	3.4058	29	5.88	1	140	125
Azerbaijan	1.9	6	1.472	48	1.32	86	140	126

Egypt, Arab Rep.	3.2	66	20.93 63	5	1.93	69	140	127
Israel	6.4	121	10.78 02	10	3.83	5	136	128
Myan mar	1.7	5	1.070 7	64	2.23	63	132	129
Leban on	2.7	49	10.29 92	12	1.94	68	129	130
Niger	2.2	24	0.621 5	95	3.29	9	128	131
Philip pines	2.6	45	2.987 1	31	2.37	51	127	132
Vietna m	2.6	43	4.517 7	21	2.25	61	125	133
Ethiop ia	2.3	27	0.75	86	3.26	11	124	134
Yeme n, Rep.	2.4	34	0.807 2	83	4.65	3	120	135
Gambi a, The	2.8	56	2.085 2	40	2.93	24	120	136
Tajikis tan	2	9	1.202	62	2.48	47	118	137
Guate mala	2.2	19	1.061 5	65	2.85	31	115	138
Cote d'Ivoir e	2	10	0.603 1	97	3.6	6	113	139
Ugand a	2.6	44	1.403 1	51	3.19	13	108	140
Haiti	1.5	1	4.748 2	20	1.83	74	95	141
Bangl adesh	1.5	2	9.978	13	1.96	67	82	142

Nigeria	1.6	3	1.369	53	2.91	26	82	143
Pakistan	2.1	18	4.4908	22	2.87	30	70	144
Iraq	2.1	15	2.216	38	3.03	16	69	145
West Bank and Gaza	2.5	39	11.0618	8	4.74	2	49	146

The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index

The results were really amazing. At a glance, it is obvious that the world's countries fall into essentially two categories, along racial-ethnic lines: the Nordic countries are in a group at the top, and all other racial-ethnic groups are mixed together below them. (Actually, the results can be categorized somewhat further along racial/ethnic lines, into Nordics, then other Europeans (or their former colonies), then Aryans (India, Iran), then Asians, then Africans, and then Semites (Israelis and Arabs). The Nordic group is pretty compact; the racial/ethnic categories following the Nordic group overlap considerably. The USA does not fare too badly, falling near the end of the Nordic group (this is not too surprising, since the US was heavily settled by Nordic peoples in its early years).)

Wow! This was incredible! The reason why I found it so amazing is that it was very consistent with what people have been saying for years about an invasion and attempted takeover of the human species by an alien species – the Nordics against the Reptilians (and their creations, the Greys).

Although Nordics can be generally distinguished from Reptilians by DNA, the major factor discriminating / differentiating the two groups (since, over the millennia there has been considerable interbreeding) is that Nordics care for the Earth (i.e., the environment, other species – the “Mother Earth” concept), whereas Reptilians do not. From a Biblical viewpoint, the Reptilians represent “the Serpent,” the Deceiver, the Destroyer. According to Christian theology (Book of Revelations), in the battle for the planet / mankind, “those who destroy the Earth shall be destroyed.”

How can you tell the Nordics from the Reptilians? Perhaps the easiest test is Jesus’ observation, “By their fruit you will recognize them.” (Matt. 7:20). It is in fact an easy matter to distinguish Nordics from Reptilians: Nordics care about Earth as a living being (Mother Earth, Gaia), and show it, whereas Reptilians do not.

Now, as you know if you read my website, I have never before mentioned anything about aliens (except, of course, the illegal aliens (immigrants) invading the US from other countries), so this is not something that I have been predisposed to. It simply jumped out and hit me in the face.

Wait a minute, you might be thinking – that you don’t believe in aliens, or alien abductions, or UFOs, or any of that stuff. Well, it doesn’t matter whether you do or don’t. The alien-abduction theory is simply one theory – a paradigm – to describe what is going on. Whether aliens exist or don’t exist, or exist as extraterrestrials (e.g., from Sirius, Orion, Cassiopeia, Arcturus) or as visitors from another dimension, is somewhat irrelevant. All that matters from a practical point of view is whether this paradigm (theory, model, representation, hypothesis) describes the facts (which it does), and can be used as a basis for prediction or accomplishing something (which it may). Whether

this theory can be objectively proved is not relevant (I will avoid here getting into philosophical discussions about “truth,” and what we can know and prove). All of the UFO-alien-abduction-stuff is subjective – it cannot be proven objectively (empirically, with physical evidence). But physical (objective) science does not have any explanation at all for UFOs or alien abductions, or the Philadelphia Experiment (Montauk Project), or the total inability of modern man to save himself and the planet from complete destruction. You can’t prove objectively that aliens exist, but neither can you prove that God exists, or angels exist, or that you have a soul and that Jesus Christ can give it salvation. But many people accept these things, without a shred of physical evidence. (In fact, you can’t prove that the physical universe exists, and is not simply someone’s or something’s incredibly consistent and persistent dream / illusion – but we’ll overlook this minor complication, and accept the physical universe as a “given.”) The significant issue is whether the model fits the observed facts (whether subjective or objective) and is useful – operational utility. The plain fact of the matter is that the current physical model of the universe is totally inadequate and incomplete, and cannot explain even the most basic, fundamental things – such as life and thought. It completely omits the spiritual component, which explains life very well. So which theory would you prefer – one that can’t explain most of what we see around us (except for mechanical, chemical and atomic phenomena), or one that explains everything (including biology and life)?

The fact is, the planet’s biosphere is being destroyed, and mankind seems completely unable to stop it, even though it may mean his own extinction, and surely condemns him to millions of years in a ruined biosphere. The physical theory of the universe cannot explain this, and offers no help in solving the problem. It is a woefully incomplete theory of existence – all it does is describe how certain physical processes unfold. The spiritual theory (the spiritual aspects of man, alien invasion, UFOs, etc.) explains it

completely, and *does* offer a solution (or several solutions). Which theory is potentially more useful for solving this problem? The one that has nothing to say on the matter, or the one that does? The answer is obvious. It is recognized that the theory based in spiritual theory cannot be more than an hypothesis relative to the physical world, but so what? The physical theory is simply an hypothesis as well, and one that explains nothing about thought or life.

To continue the discussion of subjective-based theories vs. objective ones (based on the scientific method and physical observation), it is interesting to consider the traditional African (and North American Indian) explanation for things, and contrast it to the “western” view. In the traditional African view, unfortunate happenings are caused by spirits and witchcraft. The following is a quote from *AIDS in the Twenty-First Century*, by Tony Barnett and Alan Whiteside. It occurs in a text box entitled, “Snakes, lice and witchcraft.”

‘I knew a Zulu whose son was bitten by a snake and died. He said that his son had been killed by witchcraft. This did not mean that he didn't see that his son had been bitten by a snake, or that he didn't know that some snakes are poisonous while others are not, and that the bite of a poisonous snake may be fatal. When he said that his son was killed by witchcraft, he meant that a witch caused the snake to bite his son so that the son died ... every piece of good fortune involves two questions: the first is "how" did it occur, and the second is "why" it occurred at all ... Beliefs about witchcraft explain why particular persons at particular times and places suffer particular misfortunes ... witchcraft as a theory of causation is concerned with the singularity of misfortune ... A Pondo teacher in South Africa ... [asked] "It may be quite true that typhus is carried by lice, but who sent the infected louse? Why did it bite one man and not another?"’ (Gluckman, 1955, p. 85)

Western science has no explanation for the cause of the death. All it has is Cartesian (classical deterministic mechanics) or neo-Cartesian (stochastic / Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle / quantum physics) descriptions of the “physics” or “chemistry” of what happened. It offers no explanation at all for why, or even how. And it offers no insight on fundamental existence issues such as the mind, thought, intuition, life, biological growth, aging, love, crop circles, the moon, evolution, instinct, or the ability of monarch butterflies to fly from Canada to Mexico each year without having been taught.

The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth

But I am digressing. The issue that the Good-Evil Index brings to the fore is, at first glance, the issue of the Nordics versus the Reptilians for control of Earth (or, more precisely, of mankind, the highest physical life-form on Earth). But the more general issue is control of Earth, period. If you think about it, there are a number of groups who are trying, or are accused of trying, to assume control of Earth, or influence its takeover. These include the following:

1. Alien Invasion, such as the Nordic / Reptilian struggle (includes UFOs, alien abductions)
2. The Forces of Industrial Globalization (“civilization,” western technology, USA, United Nations, World Bank, World Trade Organization, international banking / finance)
3. Christianity and Islam
4. Judaism (Zionists, à la Kevin MacDonald’s trilogy)
5. The Illuminati (Sionists, à la the *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Sion*)
6. The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood (Shambhala, Agharta, Hyperborea / Polar Myth, Lemuria / Mu, Atlantis, the Hermetic Mystery Schools / Mysticism /

Occult, Esoterica, Ascended Masters, Enlightened Masters, Initiates, Adepts, Ancient Ones, New Age Movement)

7. Foundation

As you know from my website, I am very interested in the issue of planetary management, and I have proposed my own scheme for a long-term-sustainable planetary management organization (a synarchic government of a minimal-regret population consisting of a single-nation high-technology population of five million and a globally distributed primitive population of five million hunter-gatherers). Given my interests, I have read a little on each of the topics 1-6 listed above (item 7 being the Foundation website). The purpose of this article is to very briefly summarize each of the seven topics listed above. If time permits, I will expand this article to provide more descriptive information on each topic, at a later date. For the present, I will present very brief summaries of each topic, and identify a few references. [Unfortunately, I have to return to the US this weekend for a week or so to take care of some personal business, and I will not be able to spend more time on this for several weeks.]

Each of “struggles” listed above have visible and invisible (hidden) aspects associated with them. For topic 1 (Alien Invasion), much of the evidence is circumstantial and sketchy (e.g., UFO sightings, alien abductions, evidence of visits to Earth by advanced beings, crop circles, the moon). For topics 2-4 (concerned with economic and political domination of the planet), the players are generally evident, and the struggle is restricted mainly to the physical domain. (The Jews are involved in these topics, but as an ethnic / political force more than a religious group.) For topic 5 (Christianity vs. Islam), the struggle is physically apparent, but the religious motivation (invisible) is a very important aspect. Item 6 (Esoterica) is mainly invisible, with a negligible physically manifest presence.

The planetary takeover struggles listed above are not mutually exclusive – several of them are operating simultaneously.

Prior to discussing the topics listed above, I shall discuss an aspect that is central to all of them, viz., their “game” nature. If you have read my article, *Earth Is God’s Dime Novel*, you will know my view of life as a game. This view is not unique to me. For example, consider the following passage from *Scientology: The Fundamentals of Thought*, by L. Ron Hubbard:

Life can best be understood by likening it to a game. Since we are exterior to a great number of games we can regard them with a detached eye. If we were exterior to life instead of being involved and immersed in the living of it, it would look to us much like games look to us from our present vantage point.

Despite the amount of suffering, pain, misery, sorrow and travail which can exist in life, the reason for existence is the same reason as one has to play a game-interest, contest, activity and possession. The truth of this assertion is established by an observation of the elements of games and then applying these elements to life itself. When we do this we find nothing left wanting in the panorama of life

By game we mean contest of person against person, or team against team. When we say games we mean such games as baseball, polo, chess or any other such pastime. It may at one time have struck you as peculiar that men would risk bodily injury in the field of play just for the sake of 'amusement.' So it might strike you as peculiar that people would go on living or would enter into the 'game of life' at the risk of all the sorrow, travail and pain just to have something to do. Evidently there is no greater curse than total idleness. Of course, there is that condition where a person continues to play a game in which he is no longer interested.

If you will but look about the room and check off items in which you are not interested, you will discover something remarkable.

In a short time you will find that there is nothing in the room in which you are not interested. You are interested in everything. However, disinterest itself is one of the mechanisms of play. In order to hide something it is only necessary to make everyone disinterested in the place where the item is hidden. Disinterest is not an immediate result of interest which has worn out. Disinterest is a commodity in itself. It is palpable, it exists.

By studying the elements of games we find ourselves in possession of the elements of life.

Life is a game. A game consists of freedom, barriers and purposes. This is a scientific fact, not merely an observation.

Freedom exists among barriers. A totality of barriers and a totality of freedom alike are no-game conditions. [No-game conditions: states in which a person has no game, reached by a preponderance of win (no-game) or a preponderance of lose (no-game). See also game conditions in the glossary.]

Each is similarly cruel. Each is similarly purposeless.

Great revolutionary movements fail. They promise unlimited freedom. That is the road to failure. Only stupid visionaries chant of endless freedom. Only the afraid and ignorant speak of and insist upon unlimited barriers.

When the relation between freedom and barriers becomes too unbalanced, an unhappiness results.

Freedom from is all right only so long as there is a place to be free to. An endless desire for freedom from is a perfect trap, a fear of all things.

Barriers are composed of inhibiting ideas, space, energy, masses and time. Freedom in its entirety would be a total absence of these things-but it would also be a freedom without thought or action, an unhappy condition of total nothingness.

Fixed on too many barriers, man yearns to be free. But launched into total freedom he is purposeless and miserable.

There is freedom among barriers. If the barriers are known and the freedoms are known there can be life, living, happiness, a game.

[Game conditions: the factors which make a game, which is a contest of person against person or team against team. A game consists of freedoms, barrier and purposes, and there is a necessity in a game to have an opponent or an enemy. Also, there is a necessity to have problems, and enough individuality to cope with a situation. To live life fully, then, one must have, in addition to “something to do,” a higher purpose; and this purpose, to be a purpose at all, must have counter-purposes or purposes which prevent it from occurring. This last is very important: If a person lacks problems, opponents and counter-purposes to his own, he will invent them. Here we have in essence the totality of aberration.]

[No-game conditions: states in which a person has no game, reached by a preponderance of win (no-game) or a preponderance of lose (no-game).]

It is noted that human existence tends toward (focuses on) “game conditions.” Epidemics do not kill everyone. Wars do not kill everyone. There would be no point to creating mankind – such a fabulously skilled, intelligent, and ambitious creature – and then allowing it to be “wiped out.” The game would be over. On the other hand, it is necessary to present mankind with very significant challenges (e.g., global warming, overpopulation, destruction of the biosphere, extinction of species, alien invasion) to maintain his interest and excitement, and test his capabilities to the limit. To render his existence truly meaningful, there must be a chance that mankind can “wipe itself out” – either by its own actions or by alien invasion and possession / takeover / replacement. The situation is analogous to that for an individual. Without the risk of death (for the body, for the soul), life is meaningless. The gods envy our mortality.

The reason for Earth is the need for barriers. It is difficult to play a game in the spirit world, since there are so few barriers.

It is very interesting to observe that, in all of the Earth-takeover games listed above (and any others that I may have missed), all of the participants are comparably matched, so that none can exterminate all of the others. With games involving human opponents (e.g., war between Christianity and Moslems, or Jews vs. Arabs), this is obvious. But this holds true for alien invaders vs. human beings. The aliens' capabilities are strong, and may be very different from our own (e.g., ability to operate in the astral domain / fifth dimension ("dimension" in the New Age sense)), but they are not capable of destroying us utterly, either by wiping us out or by mental possession. Otherwise a "no-game" condition would exist, and there would be no point in either party's playing the game. There is no challenge in intelligent aliens taking over a race of pigs. There is a great challenge in taking over the human species, because it is cunning and clever and ambitious and proud and arrogant and sensual. Watch any *Star Trek* movie to understand this.

The following sections will provide some descriptive material on each of the seven Earth takeover struggles listed above. [This is simply an outline at this point, and will be "fleshed out at a later date.] As you read the material about each topic, remember that it is a "paradigm." Many of these, or aspects of them, cannot be proved or disproved objectively. Remember that what is important is the *utility* of each paradigm, not its provable "truth" from an objective (physical world / scientific objectivism) viewpoint. For example, a dictator may take over the world and reign for a thousand years. It is impossible to prove whether this is because "God" enforced the prophecies of the Book of Revelation, or whether people believed the prophecy so strongly that they caused it to come about, or whether it was a remarkable coincidence. But if so many Christians believed so fervently that the "End Times" events just *had to* happen, and this in fact caused them to happen, then the paradigm was a useful representation, and consideration of it was worthwhile. On the

other hand, the belief that Enlightened Masters brought about this outcome could have been just as valid a representation for explaining the physical outcome. The objectively provable “truth” is not very relevant. The usefulness in predicting results or influencing results or causing results is of much greater importance. It does not matter, for example, whether *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion / Sion* was written by Jews or people posing as Jews (e.g., the Illuminati). What is of much greater interest and importance is the *content*, and whether some organization is in fact attempting to take over the world. It does not matter, for example, whether Shakespeare or Bacon wrote “Shakespeare’s” plays. All that matters is the content of the plays. If I can pray over my banana trees and consistently obtain much fruit, it does not matter whether you believe that it is really the prayer or communion with the plant that produced the fruit (or coincidence / synchronicity). I cannot prove either one, and that does not matter. All that matters is the results.

Alien Invasion

The frequency of unusual incidents, such as Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs), alien abductions, and crop circles, seems to be increasing (widespread cattle mutilations seem to have stopped). This may be due to the massive increase in the human population and the massive increase in human communication. In any event, these occurrences are now so widespread and so well documented that they are being seriously studied by reputable scientists. Report / evidence of alien abduction is an everyday occurrence for psychiatrists and hypnotherapists. The late Prof. John E. Mack of Harvard Medical School (psychiatry) was one of the best-known researchers on the subject of alien encounters (books *Abduction: Human Encounters with Aliens* and *Passport to the Cosmos*). Mack had an interest in Stansilav Grof’s Holotropic Breathwork®. Grof was formerly Chief of Psychiatric Research at the Maryland Psychiatric Research Center and Assistant

Professor of Psychiatry at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. I first became familiar with Grof's work from Michael Talbot's book, *The Holographic Universe*. Grof's books include *The Adventure of Self-Discovery* and (with Hal Zina Bennett) *The Holographic Mind*.

Here follows a quote from Grof's *The Adventure of Self-Discovery*:

Unidentified Flying Objects

The last phenomenon of this category I would like to discuss, is that of the UFOs (unidentified flying objects), popularly known as "flying saucers." I have already described earlier that subjective experiences of seeing physical or metaphysical spacecrafts, being in contact with their crews or gadgets, and even personally meeting the aliens are common in nonordinary states of consciousness. In this context, I will narrow the discussion to those cases where subjective reports are combined with some physical evidence. There is reason to believe that the conclusions drawn from the US Air Force projects Grudge and Blue Book were politically motivated. The same seems to be true for a special committee of the University of Colorado that attributed virtually all physical evidence to natural causes – balloons, meteors, birds, reflections of light, and others.

As in the case of parapsychological phenomena, many of the UFO reports came from people who were emotionally stable, well-educated and trained, intelligent, and articulate. There are good reasons to assume that the UFO phenomena are psychoid events, in which psychological and physical manifestations can be combined in various proportions. This characteristic would make it very difficult to study them in the context of mechanistic science with its sharp dichotomy: material or psychological. A detailed discussion of the UFO material, historical and modern, which is

fraught with many controversies would be clearly beyond the scope of this discussion. I will refer interested readers to Carl Gustav Jung's fascinating book *Flying Saucers: A Modern Myth of Things Seen in the Skies* (Jung 1964) and to the writings of Jacques Vallée, who has dedicated many years of his life to intense and systematic study of the UFO phenomenon (Vallée 1965).

Two recent books that present a massive amount of material on UFOs and alien encounters are *The World's Greatest UFO & Alien Encounters* (anonymous, Octopus Publishing Group, Ltd., London, 2002) and *The Mammoth Book of UFOs* by Lynn Picknett. Here follows a quote from the former book:

Jaques Vallée

Steven Spielberg's movie *Close Encounters of the Third Kind* made Jacques Vallée the most famous UFOlogist in the world. The François Truffaut character is based on the French researcher. Although he became a computer scientist for the Department of Defense, Vallée began his career as an astrophysicist. As a young man, it was curiosity that led him to study astronomy, but that same curiosity led him on into the world of UFOs. He does not find studying anomalous phenomena unscientific, pointing out that Nobel prize winner Niels Bohr said that all science starts with an anomaly.

He was working at the Paris Observatory when he first got interest in UFOs. They had observed a number of 'unidentified satellites.' However, when the scientists there were ordered to destroy the data concerning these 'anomalies' instead of sending it to their colleagues for further study, he rebelled.

This was during the early 1960s when the idea that UFOs were connected to alien intervention was widespread. Back then, he found that the 'extraterrestrial hypothesis' seemed to match witnesses' accounts. But since then, thousands more cases have

been reported and statistical models could be used to analyse them. This has forced Vallée to take another, more critical look at the extraterrestrial hypothesis.

Vallée already had a passion for religious history, myths, occultism and parapsychology and, around 1968, he realised that many aspects of the UFO phenomenon were also present in the folklore of every culture. By 1975 he got the idea of combining these disciplines by considering the UFO phenomenon, not as simply a manifestation of extraterrestrial visitors, but as a control system that had been in existence since the beginning of humankind. He points out that UFO sightings did not start with Kenneth Arnold in 1947. Elements of the phenomena existed before. He believes that the wheels of Ezekiel, cherubim and burning bushes seen in Biblical times, the flying goblins in luminous chariots of the Middle Ages, the phantom airships of the nineteenth century, the 'ghost rockets' of 1946 and the extraterrestrial spacecraft seen today are all essentially the same phenomenon.

As we learn more about the history and geographical distribution of the phenomenon, the standard extraterrestrial hypothesis leads to glaring contradictions, Vallée says. He believes that objects and beings connected to the UFO phenomenon are symbolic, or even theatrical, manifestations, rather than a systematic alien exploration where abductions are conducted for the purposes of so-called 'biological studies', as other UFOlogists suggest.

'We are also looking at some form of non-human consciousness,' he says. 'However, one must be wary of concluding that we are dealing with an "extraterrestrial race".' Vallée aims to shatter the assumption that 'UFO' means 'extraterrestrial spacecraft.' He believes that behind these enigmatic luminous phenomena is a form of intelligence capable of manipulating space-time and influencing human evolution. In his best-selling book *Confrontations*, published in 1990, he analysed over a hundred UFO encounters using scientific

methods, and concludes that the aliens visiting us come from another dimension.

Vallée is the champion of a bold new speculative physics. He believes that objects capable of gradually appearing and disappearing on the spot are modifying space-time topology. This validates the multidimensional models of the universe that theoretical physicists have been working on in recent years.

But he does not totally reject the extraterrestrial hypothesis, just the hard-nosed American approach to it. He believes that we share our existence with other forms of consciousness that influence the topology of our environment and affect the human mind psychically. Vallée has been accused of contradicting himself, because at times he emphasises the physical and material aspects of UFOs, while at others stressing the psychic and paranormal side. But this contradiction is in the data, he says.

Vallée is a believer in alien abduction, but believes that hypnotising abductees as practised in America is unethical, unscientific and perhaps even dangerous. He has investigated over seventy abduction cases. From his interviews with witnesses he has no doubt that the large majority of abductees have had a close encounter with an object emitting electromagnetic radiation, pulsed at hyper-frequencies. The effects on the human brain of these are unknown, so hypnotising the victims could put them at risk. He points out that UFO encounters are dangerous enough to humans as it is, with large amounts of energy confined to a restricted space.

One of the abduction cases Vallée studied was that of Franck Fontaine, who was abducted on 26 November 1979 from the Parisian suburb of Cergy-Pontoise after seeing a bright light in the sky. Vallée was particularly interested in the case because he was born in Pontoise and went to the same school as Fontaine. Although Fontaine admitted, two years later, that the abduction was a hoax, Vallée does not believe the explanations that have

been given. They do not correspond to his knowledge of the area or the psychological state of the witnesses.

'I don't believe it was a UFO, but I do think that Franck was actually abducted,' he says. 'Someone is hiding something.'

The dozen or so 'implants' he has examined have not been mysterious in nature. Analysis showed that many of them were the tips of rusty needles, fragments of insects or other natural material embedded in the flesh. However, Vallée was the first to draw attention to the subject of animal mutilations over twenty years ago in his book *La Grande Manipulation* ('The Great Manipulation'), but he has not published research because he was unable to prove the link between the mutilations and the UFO phenomenon. He does believe that the link exists, though.

Vallée finds the USAF's latest explanation of the Roswell incident – that it was the crash of a balloon carrying a basket full of mannequins – laughable.

'The most recent report from the Air Force is even more absurd than all the other "explanations" given previously,' he says. 'The fact that an extremely strange object came down near Roswell and that the military made every effort to discourage research into the incident and continues to do so is beyond doubt. However, this doesn't mean that the object in question was a UFO.'

For Vallée, the jury is still out on the Roswell incident. He believes that the idea of a crash is only plausible if you believe it to be a deliberate demonstration on the part of an external intelligence. In the meantime he is investigating nineteen other different crash cases.

Vallée believes that every country's armed forces uses the UFO phenomenon to cover up operations involving advanced or illegal weapons. This started in the USSR as early as 1967, when the KGB spread rumours about UFOs in a region where the inhabitants had seen rockets being launched that were carrying satellites in violation of international agreements. UFO rumours also cloak remotely controlled rigid airships that the military use to gather electromagnetic data. An American soldier he knows

approached one of these craft standing in a clearing in Germany during manoeuvres before the Gulf War and he has read US patent applications describing them.

Generally Vallée's scientific colleagues are open-minded about UFOS. They have no time for grandiose conspiracy theories, but they do admit the existence of a 'non-standard phenomenon'. During his forty years of UFO investigations, he has discovered that the UFO phenomenon is considerably more complex than he used to think. It cannot be explained simply by an extrapolation of current human technology.

'We are faced with a phenomenon that underlies the whole of human history, manipulates the real world and seems to obey laws that bear no relationship to those we hitherto imagined,' he says. 'I believe we're entering a particularly exciting period in the phenomenon's history, since we now have the opportunity of re-examining all the various hypotheses.'

More recently, Vallée has published a memoir of his years in UFOlogy called *Science Interdite* ('Forbidden Science'). This also examines the validity of the US Army's secret 'Memorandum Pentacle.'

Here follows a selection from Picknett's *The Mammoth Book of UFOs*:

Time Travellers and Other Dimensions

Many people hypothesize that UFOs come from another reality that coexists with ours, but on another dimension, which explains how they appear and disappear so inexplicably. Perhaps they have learned how to pop into our reality for a quick tour of our world, gaining solidity through the belief and expectancy of those to whom they show themselves, in an endless dance of reciprocity: they show themselves, the witnesses believe in them and the belief gives them more "reality," more visibility, just as layer upon layer of belief creates a *tulpa*.

Maybe the UFO-nauts need electricity or nuclear waste with which to power and maintain themselves in our dimension, hence the numerous occasions they have been observed around military installations and power stations.

Some suggest that the aliens are ourselves – the human race, evolved almost beyond recognition – from the future, coming back to warn of imminent ecological or natural disasters, trying to prevent the annihilation of the planet and all its life. If so, however, their knowledge of the immediate future is patchy and unreliable, for although they are given to dire prophecies about the end of the world, time and time again the appointed hour comes and goes without so much as a new conflict breaking out, let alone a global calamity.

Yet the idea of intruders from other dimensions, which may be described as *elsewhen*, is perhaps not so far off the mark. Quantum physics – to which all modern scientists pay lip-service, although usually remaining firmly in the old Newtonian-Cartesian mechanistic mould – provides the template for this possibility, positing the existence of many invisible dimensions, where something may be both here and there, depending on whether it is observed or not. The British cutting-edge physicist, Professor David Bohm, described a highly controversial view of the world in his seminal book *Wholeness and the Implicate Order* (London, 1980), arguing that while our separation of the world into apparently distinct objects has enabled us to control our environment very well, on a deeper level this distinction between "me," "you" and "that" is actually false. As the astrophysicist Professor Archie Roy of Glasgow University writes in his *A Sense of Something Strange* (1990), "Many of these objects, such as electrons and other atomic particles, are illusions. [Bohm] puts forward reasons for believing that the level of reality manifesting itself, the level that we study, is produced by the creative, flowing processes of a sub-world. These 'forms' and 'objects' thrown up do seem to have a certain stability, longer and shorter durabilities, their behaviour capable of being described by a set of laws

deduced from observation. But because they are only a sub-set of phenomena projected or manifested from a deeper, more fundamental world of dynamic processes, certain anomalies or paradoxes reveal that, however deeply we believe we have come to grips with ultimate reality, the artifacts we are studying are projections, as it were, into a lower number of dimensions from a higher dimensional world of existence.

In other words, seeing is not necessarily believing. The evidence of one's own eyes is almost always incomplete and flawed, being too dependent on a host of factors from physical health to prejudice and expectations, not to mention the fact that human beings can only perceive a limited area of the spectrum - as anyone realizes who has had the disturbing experience of watching a cat or dog follow something invisible around the room with their eyes. Not only is there more there than we can see, but what we do see is probably very different in its basic nature: neatly distinct chairs and tables are really, according to theoretical physicists, not really solid objects at all, but whirling masses of invisible atoms that happen to form the configurations that we recognize as tables and chairs. Before they were made, their atoms would have formed what we call planks of wood and before that, trees. Yet in a sense none of these things exist, for everything is in a constant state of flux, a universal dance of life, only some of which is perceived by mortal man.

With such limits on our perception, the only wonder is that more people are not aware of intruders from elsewhere, who are perhaps impatient to be recognized as fellow inhabitants of our world. As the ever-wise Jacques Vallée writes: "These unexplained observations need not represent a visitation from space visitors, but something even more interesting: a window toward undiscovered dimensions of our environment'.

He goes on to present the great challenge to our current belief system: "I believe that the UFO phenomenon represents evidence for other dimensions beyond spacetime; the UFOs may not come from ordinary space, but from a multiverse which is all around us,

and of which we have stubbornly refused to consider the disturbing reality in spite of the evidence available to us for centuries.”

For an interesting and readable description of recent (2004) work in alien encounters, see Laura Knight-Jadczyk’s book, *The High Strangeness of Dimensions, Densities, and the Process of Alien Abduction*. Also, see her website, <http://www.cassiopaea.org> .

The book, *How to Defend Yourself against Alien Abduction* by Ann Druffel includes a description of alien encounters and ways of coping with them.

For detailed discussion of the Nordic / Reptilian struggle, see David Icke’s books, *The Biggest Secret* (1999), *Children of the Matrix* (2001) and *Tales from the Time Loop* (2003).

For information about crop circles (written by an accomplished scientist) see *The Deepening Complexity of Crop Circles: Scientific Research and Urban Legends* by Eltjo H. Haselhoff, PhD.

For material on evidence of alien visits to earth in earlier times, see, e.g., *When the Gods Came Down* or *Gods of the New Millennium* by Alan F. Alford; *Fingerprints of the Gods* by Graham Hancock; *Chariots of the Gods?* by Erich von Däniken; *The Orion Mystery* by Robert Bauval and Adrian Gilbert; *The Sirius Mystery* by Robert Temple; *The Stargate Conspiracy* by Lynn Picknett and Clive Prince; *Our Mysterious Spaceship Moon* by Don Wilson.

For description of early UFO sightings, see *Beasts, Men and Gods* by Ferdinand Ossendowski (1922) and *Altai-Himalaya: A Travel Diary* by Nicholas Roerich (1929).

See also the following: *Nothing in This Book Is True, But It's Exactly How Things Are* by Bob Frissell.

For information on the “new physics,” see *The Holographic Universe* by Michael Talbot; *The Tao of Physics: An Exploration of the Parallels between Modern Physics and Eastern Mysticism* by Fritjof Capra; and *The Dancing Wu Li Masters: An Overview of the New Physics* by Gary Zukav.

For material on investigation of alien encounters by a hypnotherapist, see Michael Newton's books, *Journey of Souls: Case Studies of Live between Lives*, *Destiny of Souls: More Case Studies of Life between Lives*, and *Life between Lives: Hypnotherapy for Spiritual Regression*. See also *Journey of the Soul* by Brenda Davies.

For more on New Age philosophy / perspective, see *Ishmael* by Daniel Quinn.

Global Industrialization

For a discussion of the takeover of the planet by the forces of global industrialization (USA, United Nations, World Bank, World Trade Organization, international finance / banking), see David Icke's books, *The Robot's Rebellion* (1994), *...and the truth shall set you free* (1995) and *I am me, I am free* (1996). Web site <http://www.davidicke.com> .

The struggle here is against those who would destroy the planet's environment and continue the mass species extinction now underway, and those who would stop it.

Christianity and Islam

Christianity and Islam have been at war for centuries, and are in an uneasy peace at the moment. Both have a vision of the “End Times” (e.g., the Bible’s Book of Revelation).

Judaism

Discuss Kevin MacDonald’s books, *The Culture of Critique* (1998, 2002), *A People that Shall Dwell Alone* (2002) and *Separation and Its Discontents* (2004). Cite material from David Icke’s *Tales from the Time Loop* (2003).

The Illuminati

Discuss material in David Icke’s *The Robot’s Rebellion* (1994), ... *and the truth shall set you free*, *The Biggest Secret* (1999), *Children of the Matrix* (2001). Reference *The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion* at <http://www.biblebelievers.org.au/przion1.htm> . Reference Kevin MacDonald’s work.

The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood

Discuss Shambhala, Agharta, Synarchy, Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood, Ascended Masters, Enlightened Masters, Initiates, Adepts, Hyperborea / Polar Myth, Lemuria / Mu, Atlantis.

For more on Agharta / Shambhala, see *Arktos: The Polar Myth* by Joscelyn Godwin; *Shambhala* by Victoria LePage; *The King of the World* by René Guénon; *The Lost World of Agharti: The Mystery of Vril Power* by Alec Maclellan.

For more on New-Age views on Ascension, see *The Complete Ascension Manual* by Joshua David Stone and *The Light Shall Set You Free* by Norma Milanovich and Shirley McCune.

Foundation

Discuss vision, mission, goals, objectives of *Foundation*
(<http://www.foundationwebsite.org/PMIVision.htm>)

FndID(222)

FndTitle(The Battle for Earth (Outline): The Good-Evil Index; The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index; The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth; Alien Invasion; Global Industrialization; Christianity and Islam; Judaism; The Illuminati; The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood; Foundation)

FndDescription(The Battle for Earth (Outline): The Good-Evil Index; The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index; The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth; Alien Invasion; Global Industrialization; Christianity and Islam; Judaism; The Illuminati; The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood; Foundation)

FndKeywords(The Battle for Earth (Outline); The Good-Evil Index; The Amazing Feature of the Good-Evil Index; The Many Concurrent Battles to Take Over Earth; Alien Invasion; Global Industrialization; Christianity and Islam; Judaism; The Illuminati; The Great White Brotherhood / Universal Brotherhood; Foundation)